

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

MIKE AMINE,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 18-cv-13491
Hon. Matthew F. Leitman

v.

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE
COMPANY, a New York Corporation,

Defendant.

/

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART
DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (ECF NO. 23)**

Plaintiff Mike Amine was formerly affiliated with Metropolitan Life Insurance Company. In this action, Amine seeks three types of post-affiliation compensation. He labels the three types of compensation he seeks as: (1) MPAB (the claim for this compensation is described in the First Amend. Compl. at ¶¶16-17, ECF No. 31, PageID.767), (2) Company Vested Accrued Renewals (the claim for this compensation is described in the First Amend. Compl. at ¶¶18-21, ECF No. 31, PageID.767), and (3) Personal Vested Accrued Renewals (the claim for this compensation is described in the First Amend. Compl. at ¶¶22-25, PageID.768).

Defendant has filed a motion for summary judgment. (See Mot. ECF No. 23.) The Court held a video hearing on the motion on April 8, 2021. For the reasons stated on the record during the video hearing, Defendant's motion for summary

judgment is **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART**. Summary judgement is **GRANTED** in favor of Defendant on Amine's claim for compensation under the MPAB and on Amine's claim for Personal Vested Accrued Renewals compensation. Summary judgment is **DENIED** on Amine's claim for Company Vested Accrued Renewals compensation, and that claim (and only that claim) remains for trial.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Matthew F. Leitman

MATTHEW F. LEITMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: April 12, 2021

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties and/or counsel of record on April 12, 2021, by electronic means and/or ordinary mail.

s/Holly A. Monda

Case Manager

(810) 341-9764